Fast-slow systems with chaotic noise

David Kelly Ian Melbourne

Department of Mathematics University of North Carolina Chapel Hill NC www.dtbkelly.com

March 28, 2014

Probability seminar, Warwick.

Two problems :

- ${\bf 1}$ Fast-slow systems in continuous time
- 2 Fast-slow systems in discrete time

Fast-slow systems in continuous time

Let $\dot{Y} = g(Y)$ be some chaotic ODE with state space Λ and invariant measure μ . We consider fast-slow systems of the form

$$\begin{split} \frac{dX^{(\varepsilon)}}{dt} &= \varepsilon^{-1}h(X^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathbf{Y}^{(\varepsilon)}) + f(X^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathbf{Y}^{(\varepsilon)}) \\ \frac{d\mathbf{Y}^{(\varepsilon)}}{dt} &= \varepsilon^{-2}g(\mathbf{Y}^{(\varepsilon)}) \;, \end{split}$$

where $\varepsilon \ll 1$ and $h, f : \mathbb{R}^e \times \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^e$ and $\int h(\cdot, y) \mu(dy) = 0$. Also assume that $Y(0) \sim \mu$.

The aim is to characterize the **distribution** of $X^{(\varepsilon)}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Fast-slow systems as SDEs

Consider the simplified slow equation

$$\frac{dX^{(\varepsilon)}}{dt} = \varepsilon^{-1}h(X^{(\varepsilon)})v(Y^{(\varepsilon)}) + f(X^{(\varepsilon)})$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}^e \to \mathbb{R}^{e \times d}$ and $v : \Lambda \to \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\int v(y)\mu(dy) = 0$. If we write $W^{(\varepsilon)}(t) = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_0^t v(Y^{(\varepsilon)}(s)) ds$ then

$$X^{(arepsilon)}(t) = X^{(arepsilon)}(0) + \int_0^t h(X^{(arepsilon)}(s)) dW^{(arepsilon)}(s) + \int_0^t f(X^{(arepsilon)}(s)) ds$$

where the integral is of Riemann-Lebesgue type.

Invariance principle for $W^{(\varepsilon)}$

We can write $W^{(\varepsilon)}$ as

$$W^{(\varepsilon)}(t) = \varepsilon \int_0^{t/\varepsilon^2} v(\mathbf{Y}(s)) ds = \varepsilon \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t/\varepsilon^2 \rfloor - 1} \int_j^{j+1} v(\mathbf{Y}(s)) ds$$

The assumptions on Y lead to decay of correlations for the sequence $\int_{i}^{j+1} v(Y(s)) ds$.

One can show that $W^{(\varepsilon)} \Rightarrow W$ in the sup-norm topology, where W is a multiple of Brownian motion.

What about the SDE?

Since

$$X^{(\varepsilon)}(t) = X^{(\varepsilon)}(0) + \int_0^t h(X^{(\varepsilon)}(s)) dW^{(\varepsilon)}(s) + \int_0^t f(X^{(\varepsilon)}(s)) ds$$

This suggest a limiting SDE

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t h(X(s)) \star dW(s) + \int_0^t f(X(s)) ds$$

But how should we interpret $\star dW$?

Continuity with respect to noise (Sussmann '78)

Suppose that

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t h(X(s)) dU(s) + \int_0^t f(X(s)) ds ,$$

where U is a smooth path.

If d = 1 or h(x) = Id for all x, then $\Phi : U \to X$ is continuous in the sup-norm topology.

The simple case (Melbourne, Stuart '11)

If the flow is chaotic enough so that

 $W^{(\varepsilon)} \Rightarrow W$,

and either d = 1 or h = Id

then we have that $X^{(\varepsilon)} \Rightarrow X$ in the sup-norm topology, where

$$dX = h(X) \circ dW + f(X)ds ,$$

where the stochastic integral is of Stratonovich type.

This famously falls apart when the noise is both multidimensional and multiplicative. That is, when d > 1 and $h \neq ld$.

Continuity with respect to rough paths (Lyons '97)

As above, let

$$X(t) = \int_0^t h(X(s)) dU(s) + \int_0^t F(X(s)) ds ,$$

where U is a smooth path. Let $\mathbb{U} : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be defined by

$$\mathbb{U}^{lphaeta}(t) \stackrel{def}{=} \int_0^t U^{lpha}(s) d U^{eta}(s) \; .$$

Then the map

$$\Phi:(\textbf{\textit{U}},\mathbb{U})\mapsto\textbf{\textit{X}}$$

is continuous with respect to the " ρ_γ topology" . We call this the rough path topology.

The rough path topology

The ρ_{γ} topology is an extension of the γ -Hölder topology to the space of objects of the form (U, \mathbb{U}) ie. the space of **rough paths**. It has a metric

$$ho_{\gamma}(oldsymbol{U}, oldsymbol{\mathbb{V}}, oldsymbol{\mathbb{V}}) = \sup_{s,t \in [0,T]} \left(rac{|oldsymbol{U}(s,t) - oldsymbol{V}(s,t)|}{|s-t|^{\gamma}} + rac{|oldsymbol{\mathbb{U}}(s,t) - oldsymbol{\mathbb{V}}(s,t)|}{|s-t|^{2\gamma}}
ight)$$

where

$$U(s,t) = U(t) - U(s)$$
 and $\mathbb{U}^{\beta\gamma}(s,t) = \int_{s}^{t} U^{\beta}(s,r) dU^{\gamma}(r)$

In particular, it is **stronger** than the sup-norm topology.

A general theorem for continuous fast-slow systems

Let
$$\mathbb{W}^{(\varepsilon),\alpha\beta}(t) = \int_0^t W^{(\varepsilon),\alpha}(s) dW^{(\varepsilon),\beta}(s).$$

Suppose that $(W^{(\varepsilon)}, W^{(\varepsilon)}) \Rightarrow (W, W)$ in the sup-norm topology where W is Brownian motion and

$$\mathbb{W}^{lphaeta}(t) = \int_0^t W^{lpha}(s) \circ dW^{eta}(s) + \lambda^{lphaeta} t$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and that $(\mathcal{W}^{(\varepsilon)}, \mathbb{W}^{(\varepsilon)})$ satisfy the **tightness** estimates.

Then $X^{(\varepsilon)} \Rightarrow X$ in the sup norm topology, where

$$dX = h(X) \circ dW + \left(f(X) + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda^{ik} \partial^j h^i(X) h^k_j(X)\right) dt$$

Tightness estimates

To lift a sup-norm invariance principle to a ρ_{γ} invariance principle, we use the **Kolmogorov criterion**. Let

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{W}^{(arepsilon)}(s,t) = \mathcal{W}^{(arepsilon)}(t) - \mathcal{W}^{(arepsilon)}(s) \ & \mathbb{W}^{(arepsilon),lphaeta}(s,t) = \int_{s}^{t} & \mathcal{W}^{(arepsilon),lpha}(s,r) d \, & \mathcal{W}^{(arepsilon),eta}(r) \end{aligned}$$

The tightness estimates are of the form

 $(\mathsf{E}_{\mu}|\mathcal{W}^{(\varepsilon)}(s,t)|^{q})^{1/q} \lesssim |t-s|^{lpha}$ and $(\mathsf{E}_{\mu}|\mathbb{W}^{(\varepsilon)}(s,t)|^{q/2})^{2/q} \lesssim |t-s|^{2lpha}$

for *q* large enough and $\alpha > 1/3$.

We have the following result

Theorem (K, Melbourne '14)

If the fast dynamics are "sufficiently chaotic", then $(W^{(\varepsilon)}, W^{(\varepsilon)}) \Rightarrow (W, W)$ where W is a Brownian motion and

$$\mathbb{W}^{lphaeta}(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{W}^{lpha}(s) \circ d \mathcal{W}^{eta}(s) + rac{1}{2} \lambda^{lphaeta} t$$

where

$$\lambda^{eta\gamma} = \int_0^\infty \mathsf{E}_\mu(v^eta \, v^\gamma(oldsymbol{Y}(s)) - v^eta(oldsymbol{Y}(s)) \, v^\gamma) \, ds \; .$$

Homogenized equations

Corollary

Under the same assumptions as above, the slow dynamics $X^{(\varepsilon)} \Rightarrow X$ where

$$dX = h(X) \circ dW + \left(f(X) + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda^{ik} \partial^j h^i(X) h^k_j(X)\right) dt .$$

Rmk. The only case where one gets Stratonovich is when the Auto-correlation is symmetric. For instance, if the flow is **reversible**.

Now let's try **discrete** time ...

Discrete time fast-slow systems

Suppose that $T : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ is a chaotic map with invariant measure μ . We consider the discrete fast-slow system

$$X_{j+1}^{(n)} = X_j^{(n)} + n^{-1/2}h(X_j^{(n)}, T^j) + n^{-1}f(X_j^{(n)}, T^j)$$

Now define the path $X^{(n)}(t) = X^{(n)}_{|nt|}$.

The aim is to characterize the distribution of the path $X^{(n)}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Fast-slow systems as SDEs

Lets again simplify the slow equation to

$$X_{j+1}^{(n)} = X_j^{(n)} + n^{-1/2} h(X_j^{(n)}) v(T^j)$$
.

If we sum these up, we get

$$X^{(n)}(t) = X^{(n)}(0) + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} h(X_j^{(n)}) \frac{v(T^j)}{n^{1/2}}$$

If we write $W^{(n)}(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor - 1} v(T^j)$ then the path $X^{(n)}(t)$ satisfies

$$X^{(n)}(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t h(X^{(n)}(s-)) dW^{(n)}(s)$$

where the integral is defined in the "left-Riemann sum" sense.

Invariance principle

$$\mathcal{W}^{(n)}(t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor nt
floor -1} v(\mathcal{T}^j)$$

We still have that $W^{(n)} \Rightarrow W$ in the Skorokhod topology, where W is a multiple of Brownian motion.

But $W^{(n)}$ is a step function ... so RPT doesn't really work... even if it did, you'll never satisfy the tightness estimates.

A general theorem for discrete fast-slow systems (K 14') Let $W(n), \alpha\beta(t) = n^{-1} \sum i \alpha(\tau i) i \beta(\tau i)$

$$\mathbb{W}^{(n),\alpha\beta}(t) = n^{-1} \sum_{0 \le i < j < \lfloor nt \rfloor} v^{\alpha}(T^{i}) v^{\beta}(T^{j})$$

Suppose that $(W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}) \Rightarrow (W, W)$ in the Skorokhod topology where W is Brownian motion and

$$\mathbb{W}^{lphaeta}(t) = \int_0^t W^lpha(s) \circ dW^eta(s) + \lambda^{lphaeta} t$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and that $(W^{(n)}, W^{(n)})$ satisfy the **discrete** tightness estimates.

Then $X^{(n)} \Rightarrow X$ in the Skorokhod topology, where

$$dX(t) = h(X) \circ dW + \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda^{ik} \partial^j h^i(X) h^k_j(X) dt$$

Discrete tightness estimates

The discrete tightness estimates are a **courser** version of the Kolmogorov criterion. Let

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{W}^{(n),\alpha}(s,t) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{\lfloor ns \rfloor \leq i < \lfloor nt \rfloor} v^{\alpha}(\mathsf{T}^{i}) \\ & \mathbb{W}^{(n),\alpha\beta}(s,t) = n^{-1} \sum_{\lfloor ns \rfloor \leq i < j < \lfloor nt \rfloor} v^{\alpha}(\mathsf{T}^{i}) v^{\beta}(\mathsf{T}^{j}) \end{split}$$

Then the discrete tightness estimates are of the form

$$(\mathbf{E}_{\mu}|\mathcal{W}^{(n)}(rac{j}{n},rac{k}{n})|^{q})^{1/q} \lesssim \left|rac{j-k}{n}
ight|^{lpha}$$
 and
 $(\mathbf{E}_{\mu}|\mathcal{W}^{(n)}(rac{j}{n},rac{k}{n})|^{q/2})^{2/q} \lesssim \left|rac{j-k}{n}
ight|^{2lpha}$

for all j, k = 0, ..., n, for q large enough and $\alpha > 1/3$.

We have the following result

Theorem (K, Melbourne '14)

If the fast dynamics are "sufficiently chaotic", then $(W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}) \Rightarrow (W, W)$ in the Skorokhod topology, where W is a Brownian motion and

$$\mathbb{W}^{lphaeta}(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{W}^{lpha}(s) \circ d \mathcal{W}^{eta}(s) + rac{1}{2} \kappa^{lphaeta} t$$

where

$$\kappa^{lphaeta} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \mathsf{E}_\mu \mathsf{v}^lpha \mathsf{v}^eta(\mathsf{T}^j)$$

Homogenized equations

Corollary

Under the same assumptions as above, the slow dynamics $X^{(n)} \Rightarrow X$ where

$$dX = h(X) \circ dW + \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{1}{2} \kappa^{jk} \partial^i h^j(X) h^{ik}(X) dt$$

Recall that

$$X_{j+1}^{(n)} = X_j^{(n)} + n^{-1/2} h(X_j^{(n)}) v(T^j)$$
.

The idea is to approximate $X^{(n)}(t) = X^{(n)}_{\lfloor nt \rfloor}$ by $\tilde{X}^{(n)}(t)$, which solves an equation driven by smooth paths.

This can be achieved by finding a (piecewise smooth) rough path $\widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^{(n)} = (\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{(n)}, \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^{(n)})$ such that

$$\left(\tilde{\mathcal{W}}^{(n)}(\frac{j}{n}),\tilde{\mathbb{W}}^{(n)}(\frac{j}{n})\right) = \left(\mathcal{W}^{(n)}(\frac{j}{n}),\mathbb{W}^{(n)}(\frac{j}{n})\right)$$

for all j = 0, ..., n and which is Lipschitz in between mesh points. Then define

$$ilde{X}^{(n)}(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t h(ilde{X}^{(n)}(s)) d ilde{\mathsf{W}}^{(n)}(s)$$

Alternatively we can write

$$egin{aligned} & ilde{X}^{(n)}(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t h(ilde{X}^{(n)}(s)) d ilde{W}^{(n)}(s) \ &+ \sum_{i,j,k} \int_0^t rac{1}{2} \partial^i h^j(X) h^{ik}(X) d extsf{Z}^{(n),jk}(s) \end{aligned}$$

where $Z^{(n)}$ is a piecewise smooth path.

By construction, $\tilde{X}^{(n)}$ is a good approximation of $X^{(n)}$.

Proposition We have that

$$\sup_{j=0\dots n} |X^{(n)}(j/n) - \tilde{X}^{(n)}(j/n)| \lesssim K_{n,\gamma} n^{1-3\gamma} ,$$

for any $\gamma \in (1/3, 1/2]$, where the constant $K_{n,\gamma}$ depends on n through the "discrete Hölder norms" of $(W^{(n)}, W^{(n)})$.

As a consequence, if $\tilde{X}^{(n)} \Rightarrow X$ then $X^{(n)} \Rightarrow X$.

But since $\tilde{X}^{(n)}$ is driven by smooth paths, we can apply the ideas from the first half of the talk.

But again by construction ...

• If $(W^{(n)}, W^{(n)}) \Rightarrow (W, W)$ in the Skorokhod topology then $(\tilde{W}^{(n)}, \tilde{W}^{(n)}) \Rightarrow (W, W)$ in the sup-norm topology.

• If $(W^{(n)}, \mathbb{W}^{(n)})$ satisfy the discrete tightness estimates, then $(\tilde{W}^{(n)}, \tilde{\mathbb{W}}^{(n)})$ satisfy the continuous tightness estimates.

Thus $\tilde{X}^{(n)} \Rightarrow X$.